

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH  
ABSTRACT

Public Servants - Revenue (Commercial Taxes) Department - Disciplinary proceedings initiated against Smt.B.Geetha Madhuri, former Jt.Commr.(ST), Rajamahendravaram Division, now working as Jt.Commr.(ST), Guntur Division-I under Rule 20 of APCS (CC&A) Rules, 1991 for the irregularities noticed subsequent to the ACB trap of Sri Thiragati Satya Hari Srinivasa Rao, Dy.AC (ST), Aryapuram, Rajamahendravaram & Asst.Commr.(FAC)(ST), Rajamahendravaram, East Godavari district - Article of charges framed - WSD received - I.O. and P.O. appointed - Inquiry report submitted - Disagreement factors communicated - Explanation submitted - Examined - Further action dropped against Smt.B.Geetha Madhuri, Joint Commissioner (ST) - Orders - Issued.

-----

REVENUE (VIGILANCE-VI) DEPARTMENT

G.O.RT.No. 1185

Dated: 06-10-2025

Read the following:-

1. From the CCST's, Lr.Ref.No.V2/127/2023-2, dated 31.05.2023.
2. G.O.Rt.No.627, Revenue (Vigilance-VI) Dept., dated 10.07.2023.
3. Written Statement of Defence submitted by Smt.B.Geetha Madhuri, JC (ST), dated 20.07.2023.
4. G.O.Rt.No.673, Revenue (Vigilance-VI) Dept., dated 28.07.2023.
5. G.O.Rt.No.674, Revenue (Vigilance-VI) Dept., dated 28.07.2023.
6. From the CCST's, Lr.Ref.No.134/2023 (Confidential), dated 18.11.2023.
7. Govt.Memo.No.REV01-CCST0CCTV(ACBC)/2023-VIG-VI, dated 17.01.2024.
8. From the CCST's, Lr.Ref.No.V1/151/2023, dated 28.02.2024.
9. Representation of Smt.B.Geetha Madhuri, JC (ST), O/o.CCST, dated 14.03.2024.
10. From the CCST's, Lr.Ref.No.V1/151/2023, dated 01.04.2024.

\*\*\*\*\*

ORDER:

Whereas, in the reference 1<sup>st</sup> read above, the CCST has stated that the Addl. Supdt.of Police, Anti-Corruption Bureau, Rajamahendravaram Range, registered a case Cr.No.03/RCT-RJY/2023 U/s.7 of Prevention of Corruption (Amendment) Act, 2018 on 24.05.2023 against Sri Thiragati Satya Hari Srinivasa Rao, In-charge AC (ST), Aryapuram Circle, Rajamahendravaram Division and trapped while he accepting a bribe amount of Rs.1,00,000/- from the complainant Sri N.Bala Veera Mallikarjuna Rao, an Administrative Officer of Dream Step Software Innovations Private Limited. Due to the above incident, the CCST vide his Procg.No.V2/127/2023, dated 28.05.2023 has directed Sri Y.Kiran Kumar, JC (ST), O/o. Enforcement Wing-I, O/o.CCST, A.P., to investigate and submit a report for necessary action. Sri Y.Kiran Kumar, JC (ST), O/o. Enforcement Wing-I, O/o.CCST, A.P., has submitted his preliminary inquiry report on the entire issue vide his Lr.No.ENFT/CEW/E1/127/2023, dated 29.05.2023. On examination of the preliminary report of the Enquiry Officer, certain lapses were noticed on the part of Smt.B.Geetha Madhuri, the then Joint Commissioner (ST), Rajamahendravaram Division.

2. The preliminary inquiry officer has recommended to kept away Smt.B.Geetha Madhuri the then JC (ST), Rajamahendravaram Division from the present position in order to enable conduct of detailed enquiry in a fair & objective manner. The CCST initiated disciplinary proceedings against Smt.B.Geetha Madhuri, former JC (ST), Rajamahendravaram Division under sub rule (1) of Rule 8 of APCS (CC&A) Rules, 1991 and placed her under suspension until further orders in the CCST proceedings dt.31.05.2023.

3. Whereas, in the reference 2<sup>nd</sup> read above, Govt., issued orders framing articles of charges against Smt.B.Geetha Madhuri, JC (ST). In the reference 3<sup>rd</sup> read above, the CO has submitted her written statement of defense, denying the charges

framed against her. After examination of the Written Statement of Defence, Government have appointed Sri O.Anand, I.A.S., Joint Commissioner, Visakhapatnam-I Division as Inquiry Officer and Smt.T.Lalitha, Dy.Commissioner (ST), O/o.CCST as Presenting Officer in the references 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> read above respectively.

4. Whereas, in the reference 6<sup>th</sup> read above, CCST has forwarded the Inquiry Report submitted by Sri O.Anand, I.A.S., Joint Commissioner, Visakhapatnam-I & Inquiry Officer and requested the Government to take further necessary action. As per the findings of the Inquiry Authority out of 5 charges were framed against Smt.B.Geetha Madhuri, former JC (ST), Rajahmundry Division 4 charges i.e., I, III, IV and V were not proved and 1 charge i.e., 2<sup>nd</sup> charge is partially proved. After careful examination of the inquiry report, Government have disagreed with the findings of the Inquiry Officer on the charges framed against the Charged Officer Smt.B.Geetha Madhuri, former JC (ST), Rajamahendravaram division. After following due procedure, in the reference 7<sup>th</sup> read above a copy of the Inquiry Report and disagreement factors has been communicated to the Charged Officer through the CCST under Rule 21(2) of APCS (CC&A) Rules 1991, with a direction to submit his written representation, if any, on the findings of the Inquiry Authority and disagreement factors, within (15) days from the date of receipt of the order.

5. Whereas, in the reference 9<sup>th</sup> read above, Smt.B.Geetha Madhuri, JC (ST), O/o.CCST, A.P., has submitted her written representation along with supporting copies of the documents against the disagreement factors on the findings of Inquiry Report and requested the Government to exonerate from the unjustifiable five (5) articles of charges levelled against her which were not proved on the inquiry report.

6. Government, after careful examination of the Inquiry Report and written representation submitted by the Charged Officer on the disagreement factors and Government observed that 4 charges were not proved out of 5 charges framed against her and one charge is partly proved in the inquiry and also since no mens rea was established by the Inquiry Officer nor there are any disagreement factors sustaining the charges of the disciplinary authority, besides the Charged Officer already underwent suspension for a period nearly of one year hence taken a lenient and humanitarian view and to drop further action against Smt.B.Geetha Madhuri, former Joint Commissioner (State Tax), Rajamahendravaram Division.

7. Accordingly, Government hereby drop further action against Smt.B.Geetha Madhuri, former Joint Commissioner (State Tax), Rajamahendravaram Division, presently working as Joint Commissioner (State Tax), Guntur Division.

8. The Chief Commissioner of State Tax, Andhra Pradesh, Kunchanapalli, Guntur shall take necessary further action in the matter accordingly.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

PEEYUSH KUMAR  
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To  
The individual (through the Chief Commissioner of State Tax,  
Andhra Pradesh, Kunchanapalli, Guntur district)  
The Chief Commissioner of State Tax, Andhra Pradesh,  
Kunchanapalli, Guntur district  
SF/SCs (Computer No.2101778)

//FORWARDED:: BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER